

GEORGE PROBY, JR.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) No. 4:14CV1355 HEA
)
D.R. BULLOCK, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

After considering these factors, the Court finds that the appointment of counsel is not warranted at this time. The case is neither factually nor legally complex. Moreover, it is evident that Plaintiff is able to present his claims, because the Court has ordered Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's claims. Finally, although Plaintiff asserts that his confinement in a Special Housing Unit results in limitations on his access to the library and may lead to his failure to meet Court-

ordered deadlines, the Court finds that the appointment of counsel would be premature at this juncture.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated this 8th day of October, 2014.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Henry Edward Autrey", is written over a horizontal line.

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE